UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/575,290 | 04/11/2006 | Leon Maria Van De Kerkhof | NL 031266 | 1779 |
| 24737 7590 08/06/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 | | | EXAM | IINER |
| | | | WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2137 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/06/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/575,290 | VAN DE KERKHOF ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JEFFERY WILLIAMS | 2137 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are perions or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/1 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | nis action is non-final. /ance except for formal matters, pr | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | rawn from consideration. //or election requirement. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the | ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection. | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a limited copies. | nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Received in Receivers (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No ved in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date |

Art Unit: 2136

| 1 | DETAILED ACTION |
|----------------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Claims 1 – 28 are pending. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Specification |
| 6 | |
| 7 | The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly |
| 8 | indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows: |
| 13 14 15 | Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title. |
| 16 17 | Claims 1 – 3, 6 - 20, 23 – 25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 |
| 18 | because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. |
| 19 | Regarding claims $1-3$, $6-20$ and $23-25$, they are rejected as they are directed |
| 20 | to a system implemented as software (e.g. see applicant's specification, pg. 16, par. 1). |
| 21 | Software per se. fails to fall within any one of the statutory categories of invention. |
| 22 | Regarding claims 27 and 28, they are rejected as they are directed to a computer |
| 23 | program and a computer program recorded upon a carrier (e.g. signal). Software per |
| 24 | se. and signals bearing software fail to fall within any one of the statutory categories of |
| 25 | invention. |

Art Unit: 2136

1

2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3

4

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9

Claims 11, 13, 14, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

Regarding claim 11, it is rejected for lacking clarity and it appears nonsensical. Specifically, claim 11 directly contradicts parent claim 8. Claim 8 recites that the "encoding assistance data" comprises "scale factor data". However, claim 11 recites that the "encoding assistance data" does not comprise "scale factor data". Thus, a reasonable interpretation of claim 11 is not available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

17

18

19

20

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the scale-factors of the pre-encoded signal" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of examination, the examiner presumes the applicant to recite "scale-factors of the preencoded signal ".

22

21

23

Application/Control Number: 10/575,290

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 14, the term "substantially independent" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially independent" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Namely, one of ordinary skill in the art can not reasonably assess whether the claim recites parameters that are independent or parameters that are not independent. For the purpose of examination, the examiner presumes the applicant to recite "independent".

Page 4

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the scale-factors of the pre-encoded signal" in line

2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of examination, the examiner presumes the applicant to recite "scale-factors of the pre-encoded signal".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2136

1 Claims 1 – 9, 11, 16 – 22, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as 2 being anticipated by Hiroshi et al. (Hiroshi), "Digital Audio System", U.S. Patent 3 Publication, 2002/0002412 A1. 4 5 Regarding claim 1, Hiroshi discloses: 6 means (101) for receiving a signal (fig. 4:15; fig. 13:15); 7 a pre-encoder (103) for pre-encoding the signal to generate a pre-encoded signal 8 (fig. 9:10; fig. 4:22; fig. 13:22; par. 45; 46); 9 a watermark processing means (109) comprising (fig. 9): 10 a decoder (111) for decoding the pre-encoded signal to generate a decoded 11 signal (fig. 9:11), 12 a watermark embedder (113) for inserting a watermark in the decoded signal to 13 generate a watermarked signal (fig. 9:18), 14 a re-encoder (117) for re-encoding the watermarked signal to generate a 15 watermarked encoded signal (fig. 9:22); 16 and wherein the pre-encoder (103) is operable to generate encoding assistance 17 data and the re-encoder (117) is operable to re-encode the watermarked signal in 18 response to the encoding assistance data (par. 45, 46, 74, 75). 19 20 Regarding claim 2, Hiroshi discloses: 21 wherein the pre-encoder (103) is operable to include the encoding assistance 22 data in the pre-encoded signal (par. 51, 52).

Art Unit: 2136

| ı | |
|----|---|
| 2 | Regarding claim 3, Hiroshi discloses: |
| 3 | wherein the pre-encoder (103) is operable to include the encoding assistance |
| 4 | data in at least one ancillary data section of the pre-encoded signal (par. 50). |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Regarding claim 4, Hiroshi discloses: |
| 7 | storage means (105) for storing the pre-encoded signal (fig. 13:10). |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Regarding claim 5, Hiroshi discloses: |
| 10 | wherein the storage means (105) is operable to store the encoding assistance |
| 11 | data (fig. 3:f; fig. 13:10 – herein it is noted that encoded data includes encoding |
| 12 | assistance data and the encoded data is stored in memory). |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Regarding claim 7, Hiroshi discloses: |
| 15 | wherein the encoding assistance data comprises encoding quantisation control |
| 16 | data (par. 17, 48, 49, 55). |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Regarding claim 8, Hiroshi discloses: |
| 19 | wherein the encoding assistance data comprises encoding scale factor data (par. |
| 20 | 49, 50). |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Regarding claim 9, Hiroshi discloses: |

Art Unit: 2136

1 wherein the encoding scale factor data comprises a scale factor offset associated 2 with a scale factor offset value between a first encoding rate and a second encoding 3 rate (par. 49, 50). 4 Regarding claim 11, it is rejected because, as best understood by the examiner. 5 6 Hiroshi discloses scale factor values as required by claim 8. 7 8 Regarding claim 16, Hiroshi discloses: 9 wherein the encoding assistance data comprises perceptual model data (par. 7, 10 54). 11 12 Regarding claim 17, Hiroshi discloses: 13 wherein the re-encoder (117) is operable to operate frame aligned with the pre-14 encoder (103) (par. 51, 52, 57, 58). 15 16 Regarding claims 18 - 20, Hiroshi discloses: 17 wherein the signal is an audio signal; wherein the pre-encoded signal is pre-18 encoded in accordance with an MPEG audio compression standard; wherein the signal 19 is a video signal (par. 71, 72). 20 21 Regarding claim 21, Hiroshi discloses:

Art Unit: 2136

1 wherein the pre-encoder (103) is operable to pre-encode a multiplicity of signals; 2 the storage means (105) is operable to store the multiplicity of signals and the 3 watermark processing means (109) is operable to individually embed a watermark in a 4 plurality of signals, and further comprising means (119) for distributing the plurality of 5 signals (Abstract, par. 7 – 9, herein Hiroshi discloses that the invention is operable 6 respecting more than one signal). 7 8 Regarding claims 22, 27, and 28, they comprise method, program, and medium recitations, essentially similar to claim 1, and they are rejected, at least for the same 9 10 reasons as claim 1. 11 12 13 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 14 15 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 16 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 17 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set 18 19 forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the 20 21 invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. 22 23 Claims 6, 10, 12 – 15, and 23 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 24 being unpatentable over Hiroshi in view of Katayama et al. (Katayama), "Coding 25 Device, Coding Method, Program and Recording Medium", U.S. Patent 26 Publication 2002/0034376 A1.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,290

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claims 23 and 26, they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons as claim 1. Furthermore, Hiroshi discloses a means to encode a signal at a first encoding rate, means for generating encoding assistance data, and means for utilizing the encoding assistance data to re-encode the signal (Hiroshi, fig. 4:15; fig. 13:15, par. 50). Hiroshi, however, does not appear to explicitly recite that the generated encoding assistance data includes "scale factor offset data" for re-encoding a signal at a second encoding rate.

Page 9

Katayama discloses that an encoder may include encoding assistance data comprising "scale factor offset data" for enabling a re-encoder to encode the signal at a second encoding rate (Katayama, par. 10 - 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the methods of Katayama within Hiroshi. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the flexibility to efficiently utilize encode signals on a systems with different bandwidth characteristics (Katayama, par. 4-6).

Regarding claims 23 and 24, the combination enables:

wherein the pre-encoder (103) is operable to include the encoding assistance data in the pre-encoded signal; wherein the pre-encoder is operable to replace the scale-factors of the pre-encoded signal by a shifted version of the scale-factors of the second encoding rate (Katayama, par. 10-12).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claims 6, 10, 12 - 15, they comprise essentially similar recitations as claims 23 - 26, and they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons as claims 23 - 26.

5 Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

See Notice of References Cited.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire **3** months (not less than 90 days) from the mailing date of this communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2136

18

| 1 | Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the |
|---|--|
| 2 | Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for |
| 3 | published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. |
| 4 | Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only |
| 5 | For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should |
| 6 | you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic |
| 7 | Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). |
| 8 | |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | J. Williams AU 2137 /Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136 |